

GENETIC DIFFERENTIATION OF THE ALEWIFE, *ALOSA PSEUDOHARENGUS*,  
IN THE HUDSON RIVER

A Final Report of the Tibor T. Polgar Fellowship Program

Kristen L. Kuhn

Polgar Fellow

Marine Biology Program  
School of Marine Sciences  
University of Maine  
Orono, Maine 04469

Project Advisor:

Irv Kornfield  
School of Marine Sciences  
University of Maine  
Orono, Maine 04468

Kuhn, K. and I. Kornfield. 2004. Genetic Differentiation of the Alewife, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, in the Hudson River. Section V: 20 pp. In W.C. Neider and J.R. Waldman, (eds.), Final Reports of the Tibor T. Polgar Fellowship Program, 2003. Hudson River Foundation.

## ABSTRACT

Alewives (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) are native to the eastern seaboard of the United States and Canada. Alewife life histories include both anadromous and freshwater forms. Recent introductions of alewives into non-native, freshwater habitats have caused much concern. In the United States, introduced freshwater alewife populations have become established in at least eighteen different states. Approximately 42% of threatened and endangered species in the United States are at risk from non-indigenous species, and during the past century, exotic species have been a factor in 68% of such extinctions in the United States. This project focused on genetic differentiation of alewives (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) within the Hudson River. The examination of alewives from two locations in the Hudson River, as well as the examination of alewives from other rivers and freshwater habitats, permits estimation of genetic differentiation, both within the River and among other populations. I used six microsatellite markers that have been previously characterized for the congener, the American shad (*Alosa sapidissima*). The markers were employed to characterize and compare samples of alewives from critical locations, including anadromous populations from Maine and Canada, and the Finger Lakes of New York. The level of genetic differentiation between population samples in the Hudson River is relatively low when compared to that of other anadromous populations. When combined with other analyses, these data provide an overall idea of the genetic structure of alewives in the northeastern United States and Canada.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	V-3
List of Figures/ Tables.....	V-6
Introduction.....	V-7
Methodology.....	V-9
Results.....	V-13
Discussion.....	V-16
Conclusions.....	V-18
Acknowledgements.....	V-18
References.....	V-19

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Map of the Hudson River.....V-10
- Figure 2. Allelic frequency distribution of the six microsatellite alleles at Albany and Newburgh.....V-14
- Figure 3. Neighbor-joining tree using Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards Chord distance to compare anadromous alewife populations.....V-16

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Microsatellite primers used to characterize alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) populations.....V-11
- Table 2. Sample size, number of loci, unbiased heterozygosity, observed heterozygosity and average number of alleles for two Hudson River populations.....V-15
- Table 3.  $F_{st}$  comparisons between anadromous alewife populations.....V-16

## INTRODUCTION

The alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) is an anadromous clupeid species of eastern North America, with a range from Newfoundland, Canada to the Carolinas, USA. The anadromous alewife, also termed river herring, spends the majority of its adult life at sea, returning to freshwaters only to spawn. Spawning runs occur in a south to north progression, and begin from March to May (Neves 1981) as adults migrate upstream and spawn at water temperatures between 12°C and 16°C. Adults return to their natal rivers much like salmon and shad. The young generally remain in freshwater for two to four months until they reach a size of two to four inches in length, when they begin migrations to sea.

In addition to anadromous populations, numerous populations exist solely in freshwater. Freshwater populations occur in the Great Lakes and the Finger Lakes of New York, in addition to lakes in which alewives have been introduced. There is no compelling evidence that alewives resided in freshwater prior to the Pleistocene epoch. Freshwater populations exhibit similar life history strategies which were possibly acquired during colonization and isolation after glacial retreat.

Alewives were first reported in Cayuga and Seneca Lakes, and in the Erie Canal, in June of 1868 (Bean 1884, Smith 1890). Alewives were not observed in Lake Ontario until 1873 (Wright 1892). The section of the Erie Canal linking the Hudson-Mohawk Rivers to Lake Ontario was opened to barge traffic in 1825, thereby potentially allowing alewives from the Atlantic to access the Finger Lakes and Lake Ontario (Smith 1995). Atlantic salmon was the major predator in Lake Ontario and the St. Lawrence River until the 1860s, when its numbers sharply declined (Smith 1890). Smith (1995) linked this

decline to the entrance of alewives into Lake Ontario via the Erie Canal. Extirpation of Atlantic salmon may have occurred through the consumption of thiaminase-containing alewives (Ketola et al. 2000). The detrimental effects of exotic alewives on native populations in many freshwater environments, including the Great Lakes and Finger Lakes, have been well documented, especially with regard to thiaminase. Thiaminase is an enzyme that catalyzes the destruction of thiamine, resulting in anorexia, lethargy, nonresponsiveness to disturbance, exhaustion, deformed yolk sacs, congestion in the heart region, and hydrocephalus (Ketola et al. 2000). Abnormally low concentrations of thiamine in Atlantic salmon eggs are reportedly associated with a forage base that includes alewives, which are known to contain the enzyme thiaminase (Neilands 1947, Gnaedinger and Krzeczowski 1966).

There are two possible marine routes of alewife invasion into the Great Lakes: the Hudson River (via the Erie Canal), and the St. Lawrence River. When the Erie Canal was complete, it was 585 miles long and consisted of 83 locks. Water movement in the Canal was episodic and highly variable, thus making it a string of 82 narrow, shallow ponds of equal width and depth, but varying in length (Daniels 2001). Via allozyme analysis, Ihssen et al. (1992) concluded that alewives in the Great Lakes were probably recent invaders from the Hudson-Mohawk Rivers via the Erie Canal and Finger Lakes. Ihssen et al. (1992) did not study alewives from the Hudson River and they did not present alternative hypotheses based on their data. The second route of proposed invasion into the Great Lake and Finger Lakes is from Maritime Canada via the St. Lawrence River. This hypothesis states that alewives are presumed to have invaded Lake Ontario via the Welland Canal. However, Bean (1892) reported that alewives were not


located in the lower St. Lawrence River prior to their discovery in Lake Ontario in 1873. From Lake Ontario, alewives are thought to have invaded the lower Great Lakes via the Lake Erie Barge Canal to Lake Erie, where they were first observed in 1933 (Ihssen et al. 1992). Alewives were then subsequently reported in Lake Huron, Lake Michigan, and Lake Superior in 1933, 1949, and 1954, respectively (Ihssen et al. 1992).

This study was aimed at characterizing microsatellite variation in alewife populations in the Hudson River. Microsatellites are currently the most common type of marker used in genetic analyses. Microsatellites are short, tandem, nucleotide repeats that are considered to be neutral and free from selective pressures. Microsatellites are utilized because they are very abundant and spread over the entire genome of all living organisms, so markers can be readily developed for any genetic objective. Microsatellites often exhibit extremely high levels of allelic variation. The main objective of the research was to determine the extent of genetic variation among different alewife populations in the Hudson River, and to compare that variation to other anadromous and freshwater populations.

## METHODOLOGY

Alewives spawn in a number of tributaries in the Hudson River. Alewife tissue samples were collected in the summer of 2003 from young-of-the-year (YOY) alewives at two locations in the Hudson River. Individuals at the Hudson River Estuary Program were kind enough to collect samples for our research. Samples were collected from Newburgh at the beginning of the YOY migration to sea (early July) and from Albany during the middle to end of the migration to sea (late August) (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Map of the Hudson River.



DNA was extracted from muscle tissue preserved in 95% EtOH using the tissue protocol specified by Qiagen's QIAamp<sup>®</sup> DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA). Previously collected alewife populations from locations other than the Hudson River are included here for comparison. The anadromous locations were the Union River, ME; the St. Croix River, ME; Wight Pond, ME; the Matapedia River, Canada; Hollyroud, Newfoundland; and the St. Lawrence River; the freshwater population was from Seneca Lake, NY. Microsatellites were used to characterize all populations of alewives. Microsatellites used in this research were developed by Brown et al. (2000) initially for

use with American shad (*Alosa sapidissima*) and were adapted for use in this study. The six loci examined were: Asa-2, Asa-4, Asa-8, Asa-9, Asa-12 and Asa-16 (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Microsatellites primers used to characterize alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) populations.

Locus	GenBank Accession	Repeat Motif	Size Range (bp)	Number of Alleles	Annealing Temperature °C
Asa-2	AF039657	(TTC) <sub>13</sub>	79-115	11	50
Asa-4	AF039658	(ACC) <sub>2</sub> (AAC) <sub>12</sub> (AGC) <sub>6</sub>	106-142	13	48
Asa-8	AF039660	(TTTG) <sub>8</sub>	108-148	9	50
Asa-9	AF039661	(TTTC) <sub>7</sub>	157-309	38	50
Asa-12	AF039663		334-390	14	52
Asa-16	AF039662	(GTT) <sub>3</sub> (CCT)(GTT) <sub>12</sub>	101-128	10	52

The Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) enables the rapid amplification of DNA between two primers, or multiple sets of primers when multiplexing. This amplified DNA can then be assessed for sequence or size variability (Ward 2000). DNA amplifications were carried out in 25µl reactions volumes containing 1.5µl 25mM MgCl (Promega), 2.5µl 10x Thermophilic DNA Polymerase Buffer (Promega), 2µl 10mM dNTPs, 0.5µl 10mM forward primer, 0.5µl 10mM reverse primer (labeled at 5' with HEX, TET or 6-FAM fluorescent dye), 0.15µl *Taq* DNA Polymerase (Promega), and 2µl DNA template. PCR reactions were carried out in a PTC-100 programmable thermal cycler (MJ Research) as follows: an initial denaturation of 5 minutes at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturing at 95°C for 1 minute, annealing at 48-52°C for 1 minute and extending at 72°C for 2 minutes, with a final extension of 10 minute at 72°C. Asa-2, Asa-8 and Asa-9

were multiplexed in the same 25 $\mu$ l PCR reactions, while the remaining three loci (Asa-4, Asa-12, and Asa-16) were amplified individually. Fluorescent PCR products were visualized on an ABI377 automated DNA sequencer (Perkin Elmer) using an internal size standard (TAMRA) on all lanes. Fluorescent peak data were analyzed using GeneScan (Version 2.1) and Genotyper (Version 2.1) software programs (Perkin Elmer).

Samples from all populations were tested for conformation to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) expectations by the Markov chain method (Guo and Thompson 1992), resampling 10,000 iterations per batch for 200 batches in GENEPOP 3.1c (Raymond and Rousset 1995). Comparisons were made among and between populations for divergence of allelic distribution. An unbiased estimate of the value of a log-likelihood based exact test by the Markov chain method was calculated. Estimates of  $F_{st}$  were generated following Weir and Cocherham (1984). The extent of divergence among populations was quantified by the chord distance ( $D_{CE}$ ) of Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards (1967) using GENETIX (Belkhir et al., 2002).  $D_{CE}$  measures genetic distance assuming no mutation with gene frequency changes created by genetic drift alone. This model does not assume that population sizes have remained constant and equal in all populations. Compared to other distance metrics, the use of  $D_{CE}$  leads to a higher probability of obtaining the correct tree topology under both the infinite alleles model (IAM) and the stepwise mutation model (SMM) (Takezaki and Nei 1996, Angers and Bernatchez 1998). Using neighbor-joining algorithms (Saitou and Nei 1987), pair-wise distances were employed to construct a population phenogram using MEGA version 2.1 (Sudhir et al. 2001). Confidence limits for the chord distances and  $F_{st}$  were estimated by the

percentage of 1000 bootstraps performed, resampling allelic frequencies using PHYLIP v. 3.5c (Felsenstein 1993).

## RESULTS

Samples were collected in July and August of 2003 by the Hudson River Estuary Program. A total of 108 alewives were examined from the Hudson River, with 58 samples collected from Albany, NY and 50 samples collected from Newburgh, NY (Table 2).

The exact tests of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) showed deficits in heterozygotes for both Hudson River populations. Two of the six microsatellite loci, Asa-4 and Asa-16, were out of HWE for both populations, while Asa-12 showed an additional deficit for the Newburgh population. None of the departures were significant.

Each sample was genotyped at the six microsatellite loci and population allelic frequencies were determined (Figure 2). Exact tests of allelic differentiation were calculated for Albany and Newburgh at each locus and were significant for all loci. For Asa8 and Asa2, the allelic differentiation was significant with p-values of  $0.041 \pm 0.004$  and  $0.004 \pm 0.001$  respectively. Additional significance was seen at Asa9, Asa16, Asa4, and Asa12 with p-values  $< 0.001$ .

