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Executive Summary

The New York-New Jersey Harbor & Estuary Program (HEP) and Montclair State University’s Passaic River Institute (PRI) proposed to collect data from 35 locations in New Jersey on the types, sources, and conditions leading to litter generation and dispersal in order to target reduction strategies in the Passaic River Watershed. The Passaic River Watershed Stopping Trash Where It Starts project engaged two community groups, Friends of Bonsal Preserve and NY/NJ Baykeeper, to lead citizen scientists to conduct litter surveys along the main stem of the Passaic River and its tributaries. The work builds upon a 2016 study by Columbia University supported by the New York City Department of Environmental Protection and undertaken in collaboration with HEP.

The objectives of this project include characterizing and identifying trash focusing on floatables entering local waterways and local conditions contributing to trash dispersal in order to target specific actions for reducing trash at the source. The work builds on Columbia University’s 2016 data collection efforts in New York City by implementing the protocol in additional areas in New Jersey to:

- Better characterize local sources and types of floatable debris to the greater New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary;
- Utilize the data collected at each location to help identify the most effective source reduction actions, including voluntary source control, preventative and reactive policy options, and targeted public awareness and education campaigns; and
- Creates and evaluates a model for engaging citizens and stewardship organizations in identifying sources of litter and showcasing this project to educate local businesses and residents about trash impacts and solutions to encourage responsible vendor and consumer behavior and stewardship.

Ultimately, this project provides data on the most prominent sources and types of litter to inform pollution prevention measures to reduce marine debris in the estuary. The results of the Passaic River Watershed litter survey conducted in 2017 and the results from Columbia University’s 2016 study provide a snapshot of floatable debris in the estuary consisting largely of single-use, disposable plastics. Together, these two studies provide insight to address effective source reduction actions to include voluntary source control, preventative and reactive policy opportunities, and targeted public awareness and education campaigns. This project led to the development of a trash reduction toolkit for New York-New Jersey communities to provide municipalities and community groups’ guidance in taking action to reduce floatable debris from entering the estuary utilizing the data collected from both the Passaic River Watershed and the New York City study.

HEP is now extending the use of the protocol to the Bronx and Harlem River Watershed. This work, supported by HEP staff and dedicated funding from EPA, will further enable HEP goal of reducing trash before it enters our shared waterways.
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1 Project Synopsis

New York and New Jersey have taken measures to address marine debris through the municipal separate sewer system (MS4) and combined sewer overflow (CSO) permits. Both states capture and remove marine debris through floating barriers, skimmer vessels, netting or screening facilities, as well as source control programs such as street sweeping and stewardship programs (i.e., adopt-a-catch-basin, adopt-a-beach or clean streets-clean beaches). These efforts solely address the issue of trash once it becomes marine debris. In 2014, an estimated total of $59M was spent on marine debris waste management activities in the Hudson-Raritan Estuary (Kim, et al., 2015). While efforts to clean up floatable debris will continue to be necessary, pollution prevention options are more sustainable and rational manner of tackling the issue.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 2 and the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP) collaborated with Columbia University in 2016 to design a street litter survey protocol to collect information about the type, quantity, and sources of street litter. The litter survey, developed with input from HEP, aimed to highlight the causes of floatable debris and provide an understanding to develop effective source reduction strategies (NYC Environmental Protection, 2016). In an effort to extend this approach to New Jersey, and explore additional pollution prevention opportunities throughout the estuary, the Stopping Trash Where It Starts project focused on developing a litter survey and protocol for New Jersey, targeting six (6) municipalities in the Passaic River Watershed.

Led by HEP and PRI staff, with the assistance of the Lower Passaic Urban Waters Partnership, a data collection protocol and datasheet was created; QA/QC of volunteer-collected data, including the creation of a QAPP was achieved; a training was delivered to local organizations; raw data was analysed for this report and community presentations; a trash reduction toolkit for NY-NJ communities was developed and presented to local communities. Upon approval of the QAPP in September 2017, local community groups (Montclair State University, NY/NJ Baykeeper, and Friends of Bonsal Preserve) completed a training in October 2017 and conducted 70 litter surveys along 35 sites with seven (7) local volunteers (from Hackensack Riverkeeper and the Ironbound Community Corporation) during the months of October and December to collect data in the Passaic River Watershed on the types, sources and conditions leading to litter generation and dispersal.

2 Tasks Completed

Task 1 – Refinement of data collection protocol for litter survey, site selection and QAPP development – QAPP approved and signed on September 27, 2017 (signature page inset right)

A kick-off meeting was held at Montclair State University on February 27, 2017 to discuss tasks, logistics, and the involvement of various community groups. NYCDEP provided HEP and PRI with Columbia University’s 2016 litter survey protocol as the basis for the Passaic River Watershed litter survey. PRI completed the refinement of the data collection protocol for the litter survey including a tally matrix. PRI completed the process of initial site selection using the established criteria available in appendix 3 of the QAPP (Appendix 8.1) which included distance to the main stem of
the Passaic River, impervious surface area, and other GIS criteria. Local community groups also had the opportunity to weigh in on initial selected sites to ensure appropriate locations heavily impacted by trash were surveyed.

The project team selected a total of 35 sites in the Passaic River watershed; 24 sites along the Western Lower Passaic River, six (6) along the Second River, and five (5) along the Third River covering six (6) municipalities (Belleville, Bloomfield, Clifton, Newark, Nutley, and Passaic) as shown in Figure 1.

PRI conducted scouting for each proposed study site and evaluated sites with community partners; NY/NJ Baykeeper surveyed sites along the main stem of Passaic River in Newark and Friends of Bonsal Preserve surveyed sites along the Third River. A total of five sites for each community partner/supervisor was identified, and the remaining 25 sites were led by Montclair State University. The final QAPP was fully approved and signed on September 27, 2017 (Appendix 8.1). The following day, PRI delivered a day training workshop to instruct community members on how to conduct a litter survey as outline in the QAPP. A total of 19 participants attended the training workshop. During this training PRI provided each community partner/supervisor with at least one iPad and one GPS unit for data collection as well as water-proof datasheets to use if needed. Fillable PDF files were created and uploaded onto the iPads for field data collection.
Local community groups and volunteers attend the litter survey protocol training at Montclair State University on September 28, 2017.

Task 2 – Field data collection, analysis and reporting

Each site was visited twice between October 11, 2017 and December 17, 2017 by a team of two (2) or three (3) representatives and/or volunteers from the NY/NJ Baykeeper, Friends of Bonsal Preserve, Montclair State University, Hackensack Riverkeeper, and the Ironbound Community Corporation. A total of 114 un-paid volunteer hours were recorded for the length of the litter survey. The NY/NJ Baykeeper and Friends of the Bonsal Preserve conducted a total of 20 surveys and Montclair State University conducted 50 surveys. All 35 sites were surveyed twice and an active map depicting the survey locations can be viewed by visiting: https://drive.google.com/open?id=1kq8iO-icm-F11w3l9ju59bvdJZ19kNHt&usp=sharing.

For each survey, a 400 meter segment on one side of the street and about one (1) foot into the street was inspected to include catch basins within the segment. Trash found within the designated survey area was identified, sorted based on different categories and subcategories (e.g. food-related items, bags and plastic bottles, drink-related items, tobacco-related litter as indicated on the tally matrix), and quantified by number, volume and weight. The minimum debris size for the survey was 2.5 centimetres (the size of a cigarette butt). Large, bulk items and hazardous materials were not included in the survey. Additionally, the survey was avoided during or within 48 hours of a rain event and street sweeping. PRI collected the data which included materials, types, categories and brands of trash; site characteristics such as presence/absence of trash and recycling bins, food-related businesses within the block, trash hot spots, and other variables of
Interest. The full list of types of data collected is available in Appendices 1-4 of the QAPP (Appendix 8.1).

Friends of Bonsal Preserve, Hackensack Riverkeeper, and volunteers survey site PR_16 in Clifton, New Jersey.

A total of 28,431 items were collected during the 70 visits at the 35 sites. On average, 406 items were documented during each site visit. The average weight was recorded at 3.5 kilograms and a volume at 68 cubic centimeters (cm3). Per the survey protocol, volunteers also recorded vehicle and foot traffic during site visits. Upon analysis in Figures 2 and 3, no correlation was found between trash volume and foot traffic (R²=0.011) or vehicle traffic (R²=0.009); weak correlations were found between foot traffic and trash weight (R²=0.282) and trash count (R²=0.119). The results suggest that foot traffic seemed to impact the amount of trash on the street more than the vehicle traffic in the study.
Floatable items accounted for 66% of the total number of items collected in the survey (Figure 4); 57% of the floatable trash was composed of plastic, rubber and styrofoam materials while cigarette butts were the most numerous among all items, representing 43% of all the buoyant objects documented (Figure 5).
Figure 4: Percent floatable items documented at study sites by increasing percent order in Passaic (blue), Clifton (orange), Nutley (yellow), Bloomfield (green), Belleville (grey), and Newark (light orange).

Figure 5: Floatable items during trash counts per study site

Food/drink related items accounted for 32% of the total items documented in the Passaic River Watershed survey with varying percentages per site (Figure 6). Initial efforts were made for brand annotation during survey but raw data from tally forms were inconsistent based upon the varying...
volunteers. Community group supervisors and volunteers were to collect trash in the field and later sort materials in a lab or facility to allow for photographs and an accurate count of items. The photographs of sorted materials per site would have provided a visual analysis for brand abundance, but one community group did not follow the recommended protocol. This created an inconsistency between photographed sites and limited the identification of overall abundant brands in the survey. It is recommended that future surveys improve communication amongst community group supervisors and the project manager to ensure protocols are being met as outlined.

**Figure 6: Percent of food/drink related trash per survey site**

Comparable to the survey conducted in New York City boroughs, the most prevalent items found in the trash survey in the Passaic River Watershed was single-use, disposable plastic packaging and food/drink related items (Cortes, Kim, Rubin, & Villela de Faria, 2016). Based on national beach clean-up surveys, single-use plastics and food-related wrapping make up one-third to two-thirds of all marine debris (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2018). Further analysis on the number of food-related businesses and the number of food-related trash counts showed a weak correlation ($R^2=0.1535$). Per site, an 800 by 800 meter squared quadrant using the middle of the segment as a midpoint identified a total of 229 food-related businesses (Figure 7). Newark and Passaic had the highest numbers of food-related businesses. Upon removing the number of restaurants ($n=80$) with the assumption that a consumer is less likely to exit a restaurant and litter, the correlation between food-related business and food-related trash counts increase slightly ($R^2=0.1792$) and still show a weak correlation (Figure 8).
Figure 7: Total number of food-related businesses within an 800 by 800 meter squared quadrant across 35 sites

Figure 8: Total number of food/drink-related items per neighboring food/drink-related businesses within a 1600 m² quadrant for all sites

Task 3 – Outreach campaign and communication of results
The NY/NJ Baykeeper began preliminary work on collecting publications and example campaigns for targeted public awareness and education post-litter surveys. NY/NJ Baykeeper developed a Litter Reduction in Urban Communities Communications and Outreach Toolkit to deliver during outreach programs and encourage the adoption of pollution prevention strategies (Appendix 8.2). Four (4) outreach programs to 110 participants were delivered to several schools (Table 1) that highlighted the litter survey protocol to include the methodology, initial results, and lessons learned. NY/NJ Baykeeper utilized the communications outreach toolkit to encourage students to take action in preventing plastic pollution.
To assist municipalities and community organizations to move forward with trash reduction strategies, HEP developed *A Trash Reduction Toolkit for NY-NJ Communities* (Appendix 8.3) which provides an overview of the various communication campaigns and policy considerations now being undertaken in the region as well as national sources of information. For communities interested in conducting their own litter survey, the toolkit provides detailed instructions and recommendations on how to conduct a litter survey and encourages communities to share results to HEP in an effort to reduce data gaps in the estuary. Informal meetings were scheduled with two (2) municipalities and one (1) municipal conference call in the study area to highlight the results of the project and encourage municipalities to adopt recommendations outlined in the toolkit. Attempts were made to secure meetings with an additional municipality, but was unsuccessful. A total of seven (7) attendees participated in the community-focused meetings (Table 2) and were interested in incorporating the recommendations of the litter survey into municipal-focused surveys. Municipalities were interested in prioritizing educational campaigns and learning about NYC’s Adopt-A-Basket program to improve sanitation’s response to overflowing bins.

**Table 1: Community Presentations on Litter Survey Results by NY/NJ Baykeeper**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sponsor/Host</th>
<th># of Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 18, 2018</td>
<td>New Jersey City University Jersey City, NJ</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 27, 2018</td>
<td>Heritage Middle School Livingston, NJ</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1, 2018</td>
<td>East Side High School Newark, NJ</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 6, 2018</td>
<td>Parsons New School New York, NY</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEP delivered a presentation on the *Effective Integration of Trash Free Waters (TFW) into National Estuary Program Work* on March 14, 2018 in Washington, DC during the EPA and National Estuary Program (NEP) Training Workshop. HEP will continue dialogues with TFW partners and EPA NEP staff to advance activities that prevent aquatic trash pollution. The toolkit developed by HEP as part of this project has been shared with EPA. HEP will extend the use of the protocol and toolkit to the Bronx and Harlem River Watershed through dedicated funding from EPA in 2018.

**Table 2: Community-Focused Meetings on Litter Survey Results and Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sponsor/Host</th>
<th># of Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 15, 2018</td>
<td>City of Newark’s Office of Sustainability and the Department of Public Works, Newark, NJ</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 19, 2018</td>
<td>City of Passaic’s Business Administrator, Department of Public Works, and the Environmental Commission, Passaic, NJ</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 29, 2018</td>
<td>Township of Belleville, Engineer, Belleville, NJ (conference call)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Methodology

All surveys were conducted using the same methodology indicated in the QAPP and recorded using the same datasheets to ensure a high degree of comparability between sites. Litter amount, type and site conditions were recorded using the datasheets found in the QAPP (tally form inset right) and were submitted electronically using fillable PDF forms to PRI. PRI and HEP Project Managers reviewed 10% of the data to verify completeness and reasonableness of the data entered into the datasheets by the community group supervisor and volunteer. Teams also used paper versions of the datasheets in case they were unable to submit the forms electronically. All survey days were avoided within 48 hours after street sweeping, trash collection schedules, and after a rain event. Each team consisted of a community group supervisor (who participated in the PRI training) and at least one additional individual with a preference of three (3) individuals per team for safety reasons and an additional level of data verification. Each team utilized a Garmin etrex 10 GPS unit (precision of less than 4 meters in radius) and an iPad with fillable PDF datasheets pre-downloaded. In addition, teams were provided with clipboards, a white board, pens, erasable marker, work gloves/latex gloves, safety vests, a trash picker, trash/recycling bags, and a site ID list (street names delimiting the area to be surveyed and indicative coordinates).

A total of 35 sites were identified and surveyed twice resulting to 70 surveys led by a community group supervisor from Montclair State University (MSU), Friends of Bonsal Preserve (Bonsal), or NY/NJ Baykeeper (Baykeeper). Photographs in Appendix 8.4 highlight field work conducted as part of this project. The site name, ID, location and community group lead is listed in Table 3 (Figure 1 for spatial context). Survey sites were identified within areas that were impacted by trash, close to public shorelines, other environmentally sensitive areas, accessible, as well as special consideration to include low and high income communities and land use/land cover.

Table 3: Site Survey Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Latitude (middle point)</th>
<th>Longitude (middle point)</th>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Community Group Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
<td>PR_01</td>
<td>40.810184</td>
<td>-74.140381</td>
<td>Nutley</td>
<td>MSU/Bonsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lister</td>
<td>PR_06</td>
<td>40.740807</td>
<td>-74.132872</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>Baykeeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>PR_07</td>
<td>40.868583</td>
<td>-74.114691</td>
<td>Passaic</td>
<td>MSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortlandt</td>
<td>PR_08</td>
<td>40.782795</td>
<td>-74.150044</td>
<td>Belleville</td>
<td>MSU/Bonsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forth</td>
<td>PR_10a</td>
<td>40.757119</td>
<td>-74.171914</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>MSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>PR_12</td>
<td>40.869694</td>
<td>-74.11641</td>
<td>Passaic</td>
<td>MSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre</td>
<td>PR_14</td>
<td>40.807504</td>
<td>-74.140184</td>
<td>Nutley</td>
<td>MSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delawanna</td>
<td>PR_16</td>
<td>40.828209</td>
<td>-74.124353</td>
<td>Clifton</td>
<td>MSU/Bonsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester a</td>
<td>PR_21a</td>
<td>40.768378</td>
<td>-74.164587</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>MSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>PR_26</td>
<td>40.733451</td>
<td>-74.160873</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>Baykeeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westervelt</td>
<td>PR_32</td>
<td>40.847664</td>
<td>-74.123186</td>
<td>Passaic</td>
<td>MSU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All survey locations consisted of a 400 meter stretch of road, one (1) foot into the street and only on one side of the street. The starting point for the survey was recommended to be a particular cross-street identified on Google Maps and coordinates taken with the Garmin etrex 10 GPS unit. A buffer distance of 300 meters from the nearest waterway was considered upon selecting sites; specifically, if assessing multiple waterbodies variations of sites along the main stem of the Passaic River and its tributaries were considered. PRI randomly identified over 180 sites. Upon an in-person scouting to verify access and good representation of the survey parameters, 35 sites were selected for the study (Table 3). Consideration of flood areas, slope, surface runoff, impervious surfaces, and structures versus empty spaces or lots were also considered during the selection process. Litter surveys were conducted in October through December 2017 with no particular day of the week identified. Litter surveys were avoided during major wet weather events, street sweeping, and trash pickup schedules in each municipality. Trash was collected by the surveyors on site, but bulk items and hazardous materials were avoided. A surveyor was responsible for annotating uncollected materials for all site visits on site and tallying the collected items in an off-site location. A second surveyor was responsible to walk along the sidewalk to count vehicles and people passing in the designated study area for a total of 10 minutes. Collected items were then properly disposed of by the teams and the datasheets were submitted to PRI for analysis.
4 Quality Assurance Tasks Completed

For quality control measures, HEP and PRI primarily relied on the standardized methodology and protocols outlined in approved QAPP (Appendix 8.1). HEP required community group supervisors and Montclair State University volunteers to participate in a training event. The community group supervisor was responsible for ensuring that the volunteers followed protocols on the day of the data collection and communication with the QA manager. Although the training was aimed to provide quality control among all sites, several issues arose. Weight measurements were inconsistently recorded from survey team to survey team. In addition, reviewing records found inconsistent counts from the first to the second survey on the number of trash bins and storm drains at the same site. Recommendations for training improvements and uniform survey protocols include:

- Providing at least 1 decimal place for weight measurements from all surveyors.
- Counting trash bins and storm drains to include counts on both sides of the street (not just on the surveyed segment).
- Conducting trash counts to complete the tally form in an indoor facility rather than collecting, tallying, and documenting trash in the field. This provides additional time to dedicate to site visits given that site visits are limited due to project constraints such as street sweeping, trash removal, and rain events.
- Clearly defined trash collection limits. Trash should only be collect from within the segment study area from the edge of a building or fence to the end of a curb and one (1) foot or end of storm drain equivalent into the street. Volunteers are discouraged from collecting trash in the street, front yards, or vacant lots as this is a survey and not a public service.

HEP required a community group supervisor to be in attendance of all survey events to ensure protocols were followed. PRI, as the QA manager, extended communications to each community group supervisor to check in and during calls, the QA manager was able to address some issues such as clarifying trash collection limits. As the QA manager received completed tally forms, clarifications were requested from the community group supervisor and/or volunteer. Request for responses were not always received by the QA manager. All data was recorded in the same datasheets as outlined in the QAPP for comparability analysis between sites.

A before and after photograph of each site was taken as part of the survey form protocol (additional photographs can be viewed in Appendix 8.4). The community group supervisors that followed protocol by sorting trash off-site, took photographs of sorted trash by materials. The photographs provided a reference point for brand abundance, but not all volunteers conducted the survey in this manner. This created an inconsistency between sites and limited the identification of the most abundant brands in the survey. It is recommended that the QA manager dedicate time for communication with community group supervisors to confirm survey date, review protocol directions before the survey date, be on call during survey dates, and review tally forms after completed field work.

5 Deliverables Completed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reports</td>
<td>Reporting on the 10th of Jan, Apr, July, Oct; final in June 2018</td>
<td>6 quarterly reports + final report</td>
<td>6 completed and final</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Litter Protocol QAPP

**EPA/NEIWPCC approved**

**Approved QAPP**

**Approved September 27, 2017**

### Site Selection

Criteria for site selection in the Passaic River Watershed

Approved QAPP

Approved September 27, 2017

### Trash Assessments

- **Local community groups and volunteers trained on QA/QC plan**
  - Day-training, required by two (2) community groups
  - Completed by PRI on September 28, 2017, 19 attendees including Friends of Bonsal Preserve and NY/NJ Baykeeper

- **Local community groups and volunteers conduct litter survey**
  - 10 sites surveyed twice
  - 20 site surveys completed between October 11 - December 17, 2017 by Friends of Bonsal Preserve and NY/NJ Baykeeper

- **MSU and volunteers conduct litter survey**
  - 25 sites surveyed twice
  - 50 site surveys completed between October 11 - December 17, 2017 by MSU

### Analysis

- **PRI staff to analyze raw data**
  - Identified types of trash by location and similarities/differences of results in NY/NJ
  - Completed March 30, 2018

### Pollution Prevention Presentations

- **Presentations delivered to local communities on pollution prevention efforts**
  - Number of events and participants
  - Two (2) - Three (3) presentations to municipalities affected by the project
  - Completed between June 15-20, 2018

### Toolkit for Community Groups

- **Toolkit/user’s manual for trash reduction**
  - Approved Toolkit
  - Completed May 31, 2018

### Trash Free Waters Partnership Connection

- **Review findings and possible next steps with TFW**
  - Presentation and shared final report
  - Presentation completed March 14, 2018; Final report and toolkit shared June 29, 2018

## 6 Conclusions

Overall, both studies conducted in New York City boroughs and the Passaic River Watershed concluded with identical recommendations of educating local businesses and residents about trash impacts and solutions to encourage responsible vendor and consumer behaviour and stewardship.
The most effective way to prevent marine debris is to prevent waste, specifically single-use, disposable plastics such as bottles, bags, straws, stirrers, and food/drink related wrapping (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2018). The results of the Passaic River Watershed survey suggest trash reduction strategies should focus on pro-environmental behaviour changes or actions targeting smokers (to reduce cigarette litter) and consumers or producers of food/drink related litter (Cortes, Kim, Rubin, & Villela de Faria, 2016). Pro-environmental behaviour is defined as a set of actions or conscience decisions by an individual that reduce the negative impact of human activity on the environment or enhance the quality of the environment (Sawitri, 2015). Through educational campaigns, communities can begin to increase the individual’s awareness to the harmful impacts of single-use, disposable plastics to begin broadening their environmental awareness and increase pro-environmental behaviours.

It is recommended that a focused campaign or outreach materials targeting residential neighbourhoods or schools on the reduction of single-use, disposable plastics or food/drink related plastics will reduce marine debris in the harbor (NY/NJ Baykeeper, 2016) (UNEP and GRID-Arendal, 2016). Media campaigns and outreach to encourage pro-environmental behaviours in the harbor and estuary can amplify singular events such as beach or river clean ups. Communities in New York and New Jersey are encouraged to explore media campaigns that target the reduction of single-use, disposable plastics and refer to New York City’s Don’t Trash Our Waters and NY/NJ Baykeeper’s Plastic Pollution Reduction campaigns.

Expanding on GreeNYC, a marketing and data-driven program aimed to change behaviour through campaigns and events, NYCDEP created a Don’t Trash Our Waters campaign focusing on specific neighbourhoods where street litter is a persistent challenge in municipal separate sewer system (MS4) areas (NYC Environmental Protection, 2016). For example, a targeted anti-littering campaign was implemented in Coney Island in 2017. The campaign promotes local residents and businesses to play a role in keeping waterways trash-free by generating less trash (i.e., Zero Waste Pledge, The B.Y.O. Movement Pledge), guidelines for keeping streets clean, adopt-a-basket (notify sanitation team when bins are full), and organize clean ups (NYC Environmental Protection, 2018) (NYC Sanitation, 2016). NYCDEP, Mayor’s Office of Sustainability, and the New York City Department of Sanitation are exploring partnership opportunities with other city departments and private partners to promote reusable items (NYC Environmental Protection, 2018). Partnerships with local businesses and vendors can improve waste management strategies by placing of trash bins near exits along with anti-littering signs, adopt alternatives to single-use, disposable plastics, or offering discounts to patrons who bring their own reusable items such as bags or mugs.

New Jersey’s litter-abatement program, created by the Clean Communities Act in 1986, established the New Jersey Clean Communities to provide funding to 558 municipalities and 21 counties to support clean up, enforcement, and education throughout the state. Like New York, the New Jersey Clean Communities administers adopt-a-beach and adopt-a-highway program to develop partnerships with local businesses, organizations, or residents to reduce marine debris. The program generates $20 million each year by placing a tax on fifteen categories of businesses that may produce litter-generating products and distributes funds to municipalities and counties (New Jersey Clean Communities, 2018). Although clean ups are ongoing in both states, an anti-littering campaign at a local level can increase pro-environmental behaviour to reduce street litter and marine debris. Alternatively to a municipal-led campaign, organizations can also be successful in engaging local businesses and residents with an anti-littering campaign. For example, the NY/NJ Baykeeper’s Plastics Pollution Reduction campaign focuses on communities and local businesses to reduce single-use plastics through alternative plastic pollution prevention strategies, social media campaigns such as #SkipTheStraw, #BeTheSolution2PlasticPollution, and #BreakThePlasticHabit, and a guidance document to become a straw-free ambassador (NY/NJ Baykeeper, 2017).
In addition to education and outreach, municipalities are recommended to consider supporting local or state laws that expand on the recycling law to reduce single-use, disposable plastics from becoming marine debris. Municipalities and states across the country have implemented plastic bag bans and plastic bag fees to reduce plastic pollution from entering streets, sewerage systems, and waterways. In New York, Governor Andrew M. Cuomo’s Plastic Bag Task Force was established to provide recommendations on plastic bag policies which led to state senators’ introduction of a bill (S7760) in 2018 that would ban plastic carryout bags and place a ten-cent fee on all carryout bags (Krueger, 2018; Fallon, 2017). In New Jersey, several variations of a plastic bag ban (A4552, A1218) have been introduced since 2007, but have not made it to the governor’s desk. With Governor Phil Murphy’s election, environmentalists anticipate a successful bill will be bought forward as several New Jersey municipalities have already passed such laws (Zimmer, 2018; Warren, 2018). Most recently, the Borough of Monmouth Beach passed local ordinance No. 2018-02 in Chapter 3 Section 3.17 to ban single-use plastic bags, straws and food containers, as well as take-out Styrofoam boxes (Strunsky, 2018). The ordinance, passed on May 22, defines that no business or store shall provide any single-use, plastic carryout bags, polystyrene foam containers and/or plastic straws to a customer at the check stand, cash register, point of sale, or other point of departure for the purpose of transporting products or goods out of the business or store, with only one exception to business or stores for the sale of bait. Taking effect on June 1, the ordinance includes fines of up to $2,400 but warnings will be issues for initial violations (Strunsky, 2018). Unlike other municipalities in New Jersey, this is the first ordinance that tackles bags, straws, and containers and should serve as a model ordinance for other communities across the harbor.

With a goal of reducing sources and developing solutions for trash and floatables in the estuary, HEP will engage the Bronx & Harlem Rivers Urban Waters Federal Partnership and the EPA Trash Free Waters initiative to expand on characterizing and identifying trash and floatables entering local waterways. Building on the work supported by NEIWPC in the Passaic River Watershed, HEP has dedicated $25,000 and staff time to expand the litter survey protocol in the Bronx and Harlem River Watershed in 2018. HEP will work with PRI, the Urban Water Ambassador, and local community groups to better understand the sources and root causes of litter reaching the estuary while also promoting the toolkit and campaigns outlined in this report for citizen action.
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8 Appendices

8.1 Quality Assurance Project Plan: Stopping Trash Where It Starts
https://www.dropbox.com/s/1rji8xvgdtdjnd/8.1_HRF_QAPP_AquaticTrash_Final_Signed.pdf?dl=0

8.2 NY/NJ Baykeeper's Litter Reduction in Urban Communities Communications and Outreach Toolkit
https://www.dropbox.com/s/4hfhbuswmh2q2i/8.2_NYNJ%20Baykeeper%20Litter%20Reduction%20in%20Urban%20Communities.pdf?dl=0

8.3 HEP’s A Trash Reduction Toolkit for NY-NJ Communities
https://www.dropbox.com/s/aeu3ka5na7esf8/u/8.3_A%20Trash%20Reduction%20Toolkit%20for%20NY-NJ%20Communities_FINAL.pdf?dl=0

8.4 Site Survey Photographs

Montclair State University assistants collect litter in segment PR_12 (Virginia) during their litter survey.
Teams of three utilize a clipboard, iPad, and a Garmin 10 GPS unit to verify the location of their survey segments.

Teams utilized container to record height and weight of collected litter following the litter survey protocol.
One foot into the street was included in the 400 meter segment to capture litter caught in catch basins.

Off-site sorting and tallying of collected items by Montclair State University volunteers.
8.5 Stopping Trash Where It Starts Results Spreadsheet